(12) UK Patent Application (19) GB (11) 2 370 301 (13) A

(43) Date of A Publication 26.06.2002

(21) Application No 0130640.6

(22) Date of Filing 21.12.2001

(30) Priority Data
(31) 60257224 (32) 21.12.2000 (33) US

(71) Applicant(s)
Baker Hughes Incorporated
(Incorporated in USA - Delaware)
3900 Essex Lane, Suite 1200, P.O. Box 4740, Houston, Texas 77210-4740, United States of America

(72) Inventor(s)
Martin P Coronado
Edward T Wood
Benn A Voll
Mohamad F Khodaverdian
Ray Vincent
Van N Ho

(74) Agent and/or Address for Service
Murgitroyd & Company
Scotland House, 165-169 Scotland Street,
GLASGOW, G5 8PL, United Kingdom

(51) INT CL^7
E21B 43/08 43/10 43/14

(52) UK CL (Edition T)
E1F FJF FLW FMU

(56) Documents Cited
GB 2343691 A
EP 0360597 A
US 5901789 A
GB 2336383 A
US 6263966 B

(58) Field of Search
UK CL (Edition T) E1F FJB FJF FLA FLW FMU
INT CL^7 E21B 43/08 43/10 43/14
Online: WPI EPODOC JAPIO

(54) Abstract Title

A method for well completion using an expandable isolation system

(57) A well completion method for isolating at least one zone which comprises running into the wellbore a string with isolators 24, 26 in conjunction with a screen 28 which allows flow from the surrounding formation into the string and expanding the isolators and the screen in the wellbore. The isolators are tubular with a sleeve of an elastomeric sealing material. The screen is made of a weave in one or more layers. The completion assembly includes an inflatable expansion assembly which provides a limited expansion force and/or diameter. A plurality of zones can be isolated on a single trip.



FIG. 5b

At least one drawing originally filed was informal and the print reproduced here is taken from a later filed formal copy.

GB 2 370 301 A



FIG. 1a



FIG. 2a



FIG. 3a



FIG. 1b



FIG. 2b



FIG. 3b



FIG. 1c



FIG. 2c



FIG. 3c



FIG. 1d



FIG. 2d



FIG. 3d



FIG. 4a



FIG. 5a



FIG. 4b



FIG. 5b



FIG. 4c



FIG. 5c



FIG. 4d



FIG. 5d



56
62
64
66
68
70
72
74
76
78
80
82

FIG. 6



42
44
84
86
88
90
92
94
95
96
97
98
100
102
104
106
108
110
112
114
116

FIG. 7


160
124
152
126
164
162
156
158
154
140
138
150
146
136
148
144
120
122
118
142

FIG. 8a


176
186
39
38
174
170
187
188
168

FIG. 9a



FIG. 8b



FIG. 9b



FIG. 10



FIG. 11



FIG. 12



FIG. 13



FIG. 14



FIG. 15



FIG. 16



FIG. 17



FIG. 18



FIG. 19



FIG. 20



FIG. 21



FIG. 22



FIG. 23



FIG. 24



FIG. 25



FIG. 26



FIG. 27



FIG. 28

TITLE: EXPANDABLE PACKER ISOLATION SYSTEM

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The field of this invention is one-trip completion systems, which allow for zone isolation and production using a technique for expansion of screens and isolators, preferably in open hole completions.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Typically zonal isolation is desirable in wells with different pressure regimes, incompatible reservoir fluids, and varying production life. The typical solution to this issue in the past has been to cement and perforate casing. Many applications further required gravel packing adding an extra measure of time and expense to the completion. The cemented casing also required running cement bond logs to insure the integrity of the cementing job. It was not unusual for a procedure involving cemented casing, gravel packing and zonal isolation using packers to take 5-20 days per zone and cost as much or over a million dollars a zone. Use of cement in packers carried with it concerns of spills and extra trips into the well. Frequently fracturing techniques were employed to increase well productivity but cost to complete was also increased. Sand control techniques, seeking to combine gravel packing and fracturing, also bring on risks of unintended formation damage, which could reduce productivity.

In open hole completions, gravel packing was difficult to effectively accomplish although there were fewer risks in horizontal pay zones. The presence of shale impeded the gravel packing operation. Proppant packs were used in open hole completions, particularly for deviated or horizontal open hole wells. Proppant packing involved running a screen in the hole and pumping proppants outside of it. Proppants such as gravel or ceramic beads were effective to control cave-ins but still allowed water or gas coning and breakthroughs. Proppant packs have been used between activated isolation devices such as external casing packers in procedures that were complex, time consuming, and risky. More recently, a new technique which is the subject of a co-pending patent application also assigned to Baker Hughes Incorporated a refined technique has been developed wherein a proppant pack is delivered on both sides of a non-activated annular seal. In this technique the seal can thereafter be activated against casing or open hole. While this technique involved improved zonal isolation, it was still costly and involved complex delivery tools and techniques for the proppant.

Shell Oil Company has disclosed more recently, techniques for expansion of slotted liners using force driven cones. Screens have been mechanically expanded, in an effort to eliminate gravel packing in open hole completions. The use of cones to expand slotted liners suffered from several weaknesses. The structural strength of the screens or slotted liners

being expanded suffered as a tradeoff to allow the necessary expansion desired. When placed in service such structures could collapse at differential pressures on expanded screens of as low as 2-300 pounds per square inch (PSI). Expansion techniques suffered from other shortcomings such as the potential for rupture of a tubular or screen upon expansion. Additionally, where the well bore is irregular the cone expander will not apply uniform expansion force to compensate for void areas in the well bore. This can detract from seal quality. Cone expansion results in significant longitudinal shrinkage, which potentially can misalign the screen being expanded from the pay zone, if the initial length is sufficiently long. Due to longitudinal shrinkage, overstress can occur particularly when expanding from bottom up. Cone expansions also require high pulling forces in the order of 250,000 pounds. Slotted liner is also subject to relaxation after expansion. Cone expansions can give irregular fracturing effect, which varies with the borehole size and formation characteristics.

Accordingly the present invention has as its main objective the ability to replace traditional cemented casing completion procedures. This is accomplished by running isolators in pairs for each zone to be produced with a screen in between. The screen and isolators are delivered in a single trip and expanded down hole using an inflatable device to preferably expand the isolators. The screens can also be similarly expanded using an inflatable tool

or by virtue of mechanical expansion, depending on the application. Each zone can be isolated in a single trip. The completion assembly and the expansion tool can selectively be run in together or on separate trips. These and other features of the invention can be more readily understood by a review of the description of the preferred embodiment, which appears below.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A completion technique to replace cementing casing, perforating, fracturing, and gravel packing with an open hole completion is disclosed. Each zone to be isolated by the completion assembly features a pair of isolators, which are preferably tubular with a sleeve of a sealing material such as an elastomer on the outer surface. The screen is preferably made of a weave in one or more layers with a protective outer, and optionally an inner, jacket with openings. The completion assembly can be lowered on rigid or coiled tubing which, internally to the completion assembly, includes the expansion assembly. The expansion assembly is preferably an inflatable design with features that provide limits to the delivered expansion force and/or diameter. A plurality of zones can be isolated in a single trip.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figures 1a-d, are a sectional elevation view of the open hole completion assembly at the conclusion of running in;

Figures 2a-d, are a sectional elevation view of the open hole completion assembly showing the upper optional packer in a set position;

Figures 3a-d, are a sectional elevation view of the open hole completion assembly with a zone isolated at its lower end;

Figures 4a-d, are a sectional elevation view of the open hole completion assembly with a zone isolated at its upper end;

Figures 5a-d, are a sectional elevation of the open hole completion assembly in the production mode;

Figure 6 is a sectional elevation view of the circulating valve of the expansion assembly;

Figure 7 is a sectional view elevation of the inflation valve mounted below the circulating valve;

Figures 8a-b are a sectional elevation view of the injection control valve mounted below the circulating valve;

Figures 9a-b are a sectional elevation view of the inflatable expansion tool mounted below the injection control valve;

Figure 10 is a sectional elevation view of the drain valve mounted below the inflatable expansion tool;

Figure 11 a detail of a first embodiment of the sealing element on an isolator in the run in position;

Figure 12 is the view of Fig. 11 in the set position;

Figure 13 is a second alternative isolator seal in the run in position;

Figure 14 is the view of Fig. 13 in the set position;

Figure 15 is a third alternative isolator seal in the run in position featuring end sleeves;

Figure 16 is a detail of an end sleeve shown in Fig. 15;

Figure 17 is the view of Fig. 15 in the set position;

Figure 18 is a fourth alternative isolator seal showing a filled cavity beneath it, in the run in position;

Figure 19 is the view of Fig. 18 in the set position;

Figure 20 is the view taken along line 20-20 shown in Fig. 19;

Figure 21 illustrates a sectional elevation view of an undulating seal on the isolator in the run in position;

Figure 22 is the view of Fig. 21 in the set position;

Figure 23 is another alternative isolator with a wall re-enforcing feature shown in section during run-in;

Figure 24 is the view of Fig. 23 after the mandrel has been expanded;

Figure 25 is the view of Fig. 24 after expansion of an insert sleeve with the bladder.

Figure 26 is a section view of an unexpanded isolator showing travel limiting sleeve;

Figure 27 is the view of Fig. 26 after maximum expansion of the isolator; and

Figure 28 is the view at line 28-28 of Fig. 26.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

Referring to Figs. 1a-d, the completion assembly **C** is illustrated in the run in position in well bore **10**. At its lower end, as seen in Figs. 1d-5d are a wash down shoe **12** and a seal sub **14** both of known design and purpose. Working up-hole from seal sub **14** are a pair of isolators **16** and **18** which are spaced apart to allow mounting a screen assembly **20** in between. Further up-hole is a section of tubular **22** whose length is determined by the spacing of the zones to be isolated in the well bore **10**. Further up-hole is another set of isolators **24** and **26** having a screen assembly **28** in between. Optionally at the top of the completion assembly **C** is a packer **30**, which is selectively settable against the well bore **10**, as shown in Fig. 2a. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that the completion assembly described is for isolation of two distinct producing zones. The completion assembly **C** can also be configured for one zone or three or more zones by repeating the pattern of a pair of isolators above and below a screen for each zone.

The completion assembly **C** can be run in on an expansion assembly **E**. Located on the expansion assembly **E** is a setting tool **32** which supports the packer **30** and the balance of the completion assembly **C** for run in. Ultimately, the setting tool **32** actuates the packer **30** in a known manner. The majority of the expansion assembly **E** is nested within the completion assembly **C** for run in. At the lower end **34** of the expansion assembly **E**, there is engagement into a seal bore **36** located in seal sub

14. If this arrangement is used, circulation during run in is possible as indicated by the arrows shown in Figs. 1a-d.

The expansion assembly **E** shown in Figs. 1a-d through 5a-d is illustrated schematically featuring an expanding bladder **38**. The bladder **38** is shown above the seal bore **36** in an embodiment where flow through the expansion assembly E can exit its lower end **34**. In a known manner one or more balls can be dropped to land below the bladder **38** so that it can be selectively inflated and deflated at desired locations. While this is one way to actuate the bladder **38**, the preferred technique is illustrated in Figs. **6-10**. Using the equipment shown in these Figures, the placement of the seal bore **36** will need to be above the bladder **38**, as will be explained below.

At this point, the overall process can be readily understood. The completion assembly **C** is supported off of the expansion assembly **E** for running in to the well bore in tandem on rigid or coiled tubing **40**. The setting tool **32** engages the packer **30** for support. Circulation is possible during run in as flow goes through the expansion assembly **E** and, in the preferred embodiment shown in Fig. 7, exits laterally through the inflation valve **42** at ports **44** which are disposed below a seal bore such as **36**. It should be noted that the inflation valve **42** (see Fig. 7) is disposed above screen expansion tool **47** (see Figs. 9a-b), which comprises the bladder **38**.

During run in, the bladder **38** is deflated and circulation out of ports **44** goes around deflated bladder 38 and out through wash down shoe **14**, or an equivalent lower outlet, and back to the surface through annulus **46**.

The packer **30** is set using the setting tool **32**, in a known manner which puts a longitudinal compressive force on element **48** pushing it against the well bore **10**, closing off annulus **46** (as shown in Fig. 2a). The use of packer **30** is optional and other devices can be used to initially secure the position of completion assembly **C** prior to expansion, without departing from the invention.

The expansion assembly is then actuated from the surface to inflate bladder **38** so as to diametrically expand the lowermost isolator **16**, followed by screen **20**, isolator **18**, and, if present, isolator **24**, followed by screen **28**, and isolator **26**. These items can be expanded from bottom to top as described or in a reverse order from top to bottom or in any other desired sequence without departing from the invention. The expansion technique involves selective inflation and deflation of bladder **38** followed by a repositioning of the expansion assembly **E** until all the desired zones are isolated by expansion of a pair of isolators above and below an expanded screen. The number of repositioning steps is dependent on the length of bladder **38** and the length and number of distinct isolation assemblies for the respective zones to be isolated.

Fig.3c shows the lower screen **20** and the lowermost isolator **16** already expanded. Fig. 4b shows the

upper screen **28** being expanded, while Figs. 5a-d reveal the conclusion of expansion which results in isolation of two zones, or stated differently, two production locations in the well bore **10**. This Figure also illustrates that the expansion assembly **E** has been removed and a production string **50** having lower end seals **52** has been tagged into seal bore **54** in packer **30**. It should be noted that tubular **22** has not been expanded as it lies between the zones of interest that require isolation.

Now that the overall method has been described, the various components, which make up the preferred embodiment of the expansion assembly **E**, will be further explained with reference to Figs. 6-10.

Going from up-hole to down hole the expansion assembly **E** comprises: a circulating valve **56** (see Fig. 6); an inflation valve **42** (see Fig. 7); an injection control valve **58** (see Figs. 8a-b); an inflatable expansion tool **47** (see Figs.9a-b); and a drain valve **60** (see Fig. 10).

The purpose of the circulating valve **56** is to serve as a fluid conduit during the expansion and deflation of the bladder **38**. It comprises a top sub **62** having an inlet **64** leading to a through passage **66**. A piston **68** is held in the position shown by one or more shear pins **70**. Housing 72 connects a bottom sub **74** to the top sub **62**. Seals **76** and **78** straddle opening **80** in housing **72** effectively isolating opening **80** from passage **66**. A ball seat **82** is located on piston **68** to eventually catch a ball (not shown) to allow breaking of shear pins **70** and a shifting of piston 68 to expose opening or openings

80. The main purpose of the circulating valve **56** is to allow drainage of the string as the expansion assembly **E** is finally removed from the well bore **10** at the conclusion of all the required expansions. This avoids the need to lift a long fluid column that would otherwise be trapped inside the tubing **40**, during the trip out of the hole.

The next item, mounted just below the circulating valve **56**, is the inflation valve **42**. It is illustrated in the run in position. It has a top sub **84** connected to a dog housing **86**, which is in turn connected to a bottom sub **88**. A body **90** is mounted between the top sub **84** and the bottom sub **88** with seal **92** disposed at the lower end of annular cavity **94**. A piston **95**, having a groove **96**, is disposed in annular cavity **94**. Body **90** supports ball seat **97** in passage **98**. Body 90 has a lateral passage **100** to provide fluid communication between passage **98** and piston **95**. A shear pin or pins **102** secure the initial position of piston **95** to dog housing **86**. Body **90** also has lateral openings **104** and **106** while dog housing **86** has a lateral opening **44** near opening **106**. At the top of piston **95** are seals **108** and **110** to allow for pressure buildup above piston **95** in passage **98** when a ball (not shown) is dropped onto ball seat **97**. Mounted to dog housing **86** are locking dogs **112** which are biased into groove **96** when it presents itself opposite dogs **112**. Biasing is provided by a band spring **114**.

The operation of the inflation valve **42** can now be understood. During run in, passage **98** is open down to lateral opening **106**. Since passage **98** is

initially obstructed in injection control valve **58**, for reasons to be later explained, flow into passage **98** exits the dog housing **86** through lateral openings **106** (in body **90**) and lateral opening **44** (in dog housing **86**). Since opening **44** is below a seal bore (such as **36**) mounted to the completion assembly **C** flow from the surface will, on run in, go through the circulating valve **56** and through passage **98** of inflation valve **42** and finally exit at port **44** for conclusion of the circulation loop to the surface through annulus **46**. Dropping a ball (not shown) onto ball seat **97** allows pressure to build on top of piston **95**, which breaks shear pin **102** as piston **95** moves down. This downward movement allows flow to bypass the now obstructed ball seat **97** by moving seals **108** and **110** below lateral port **104**. At the same time, lateral port **44** is obstructed as seal **116** passes port **106** in body **90**. The movement of piston **95** is locked as dogs **112** are biased by band spring **114** into groove **96**. Pressure from the surface, at this point, is directed into the injection control valve **58**.

The injection control valve **58** comprises a top sub **118** connected to a valve mandrel **120** at thread **122**. Valve mandrel **120** is connected to spring mandrel **124** at thread **126**. Spring mandrel **124** is connected to sleeve adapter **128** at thread **130**. Sleeve adapter **128** is connected to bottom sub **132** at thread **134**. Wedged between valve mandrel **120** and top sub **118** are perforated sleeve **136** and plug **138**. Seal **140** is used to seal plug **138** to valve mandrel **120**. Flow entering

passage **142** from passage **98** in the inflation valve **42** passes through openings **144** in perforated sleeve **136** and through lateral passage **146** in valve mandrel **120**. This happens because plug **138** obstructs passage **142** below openings **144**. Piston **148** fits over valve mandrel **120** to define an annular passage **150**, the bottom of which is defined by seal adapter **152**, which supports spaced seals **154** and **156**. In the initial position, seals **154** and **156** straddle passage **158** in valve mandrel **120**. A pressure buildup in annular passage **150** displaces piston **148** and moves seal **154** past passage **158** to allow flow to bypass plug **138** through a flow path which includes openings **144**, passage **146**, passage **158**, and eventually out bottom sub **132**. At the same time spring **160** is compressed by seal adapter **152**, which moves in tandem with piston **148**. Seals **154** and **156** wind up straddling passage **162** in valve mandrel **120**. This prevents escape of fluid out through passage **164** in seal adapter **152**. Accordingly, fluid flow initiated from the surface will flow through injection control valve **58** after sufficient pressure has displaced piston **148**. Such flow will proceed into inflatable expansion tool **47**. Upon removal of surface pressure, spring **160** displaces seals **154** and **156** back above passage **162** to allow pressure to be bled off through passage **164** to allow bladder **38** to deflate, as will be explained below.

Referring now to Figs. 9a-b, the structure and operation of the inflatable expansion tool **47** will now be described. A top sub **168** is connected to a

mandrel **170** and a bottom sub **172** is connected to the lower end of the mandrel **170**. Bladder **38** is retained in a known manner to mandrel **170** by a fixed connection at seal adapter **174** at its upper end and by a movable seal adapter **176** at its lower end. Seal adapter **176** is connected to spring housing **178** to define a variable volume chamber **180** in which are mounted a plurality of Belleville washers **182**. A stop ring **184** is mounted to mandrel **170** in a manner where it is prevented from moving up-hole. Passages **186** and **187** communicate pressure in central passage **188** through the mandrel **170** and under bladder **38** to inflate it. In response to pressure below the bladder **38**, there is up-hole longitudinal movement of seal adapter **176** and spring housing **178**. Since stop ring **184** can't move in this direction, the Belleville washers get compressed. Outward expansion of bladder **38** can be stopped when all the Belleville washers have been pressed flat. Other techniques for limiting the expansion of bladder **38** will be described below. What remains to be described is the drain valve **60** shown in Fig. **10**. It is this valve that creates the back- pressure to allow bladder 38 to expand.

The drain valve **60** has a top sub **190** connected to an adapter **192**, which is, in turn, connected to housing **194** followed, by a bottom sub **196**. A piston **198** is connected to a restrictor housing **200** followed by a seal ring seat **202**. Restrictor housing **200** supports a restrictor **204**. Spring **206** bears on bottom sub **196** and exerts an up-hole force on piston **198**. Seal **208**

forces flow through restrictor **204** producing back-pressure, which drives the expansion of bladder **38**. Initially flow will proceed through restrictor **204** into passage **210** and around spring **206** and between seal ring seat **202** and seal ring insert **212**. This flow situation will only continue until there is contact between seal ring seat **202** and seal ring insert **212**. At that time flow from the surface stops and applied pressure from surface pumps is applied directly under bladder **38**. One reason to cut the flow from drain valve **60** is to prevent pressure pumping into the formation below, which can have a negative affect on subsequent production. When the surface pumps are turned off, a gap reopens between seal ring seat **202** and seal ring insert **212**. Some under bladder pressure can be relieved through this gap. Most of the accumulated pressure will bleed off through passage **164** in the injection control valve **58** (see Fig. 8a) in the manner previously described.

Those skilled in the art can now see how by selective inflation and deflation of bladder **38** the isolators and screens illustrated in Figs. 1a-d can be expanded in any desired order.

Some of the features of the invention are the various designs for the expandable isolator, such as isolator **26**, as illustrated in Figs. 11-22. It should be noted that the isolator depicted in Figs. 1a-d is not an inflatable packer in the traditional sense. Rather it is a tubular mandrel **214** surrounded by a sealing sleeve **216** wherein inflatable, such as bladder **38**, or other devices are used to expand both

mandrel **214** and sleeve **216** together into the open hole of well bore **10**.

In the embodiments shown in Figs. 11 and 12 the sleeve **216** is shown in rubber. There are circumferential ribs **218** added to prevent rubber migration or extrusion upon expansion. The expanded view is illustrated in Fig. 12.In open hole completions, the ribs **218** dig into the borehole wall. This assures seal integrity against extrusion. Ribs **218** can be directly attached to the mandrel **214** or they can be part of a sleeve, which is slipped over mandrel **214** before the rubber is applied. Direct connection of ribs **218** can cause locations of high stress concentration, whereas a sleeve with ribs **218** mounted to it reduces the stress concentration effect. Ribs **218** can be applied in a variety of patterns such as offset spirals. They can be continuous or discontinuous and they can have variable or constant cross-sectional shapes and sizes.

A beneficial aspect of ribs **39** in bladder **38** (see Fig. 9a) is that their presence helps to reduce longitudinal shortening of mandrel **214** and sleeve **216** as they are diametrically expanded. Limiting longitudinal shrinkage due to expansion is a significant issue when expanding long segments because a potential for a misalignment of the screen and surrounding isolators from the zone of interest. This effect can happen if there is significant longitudinal shrinkage, which is a more likely occurrence if there is a mechanical expansion with a cone.

The expansion techniques can be a combination of an inflatable for the isolators and a cone for expansion of screens. This hybrid technique is most useful for cone expanding long screen sections while the isolators above and below are expanded with a bladder. The isolators require a great deal of force to assure seal integrity making the application of inflatable technology most appropriate. The inflation pressure for a bladder **38** disposed inside an isolator can be monitored at the surface. The characteristic pressure curve rises steeply until the mandrel starts to yield, and then levels off during the expansion process, and thereafter there is a subsequent spike at the point of contact with the formation or casing. It is not unusual to see the plateau at about 6,000 PSI with a spike going as high as 8500 PSI. Use of pressure intensifiers adjacent the bladder **38**, as a part of the expansion assembly **E**, allows the up-hole equipment to operate at lower pressures to keep down equipment costs. The ability to monitor and control inflation pressure can be a control technique to regulate the amount of expansion in an effort to avoid mandrel failure or overstressing the formation. Another monitoring technique for real time expansion is to put strain sensors in the isolator mandrels and use known signal transmission techniques to communicate such information to the surface in real time. Yet another technique for limitation of expansion can be control of the volume of incompressible fluid delivered under the bladder **38**. Another technique can be to

apply longitudinal corrugations to the mandrel **214**, such that the size it will expand to when rounded by an inflatable is known.

Referring now to Figs. 13 and 14, another approach to limiting extrusion of sealing sleeve **216** upon expansion by a bladder **38**, is to put reinforcing ribs **220** in whole or in part at or near the upper and/or lower ends of the sealing sleeve **216**. Their presence creates an increased force into the open hole to reduce end extrusion, as shown in Fig. 14.

In Figs. 15-17, the anti-extrusion feature is a pair of embedded rings **221** that run longitudinally in sleeve **216**. The stiffness of each ring **221** can be varied along its length, from strongest at the ends of sleeve **216** to weaker toward its middle. One way to do this is to add bigger holes **222** closer to the middle of sleeve **216** and smaller holes **224** nearer the ends, as shown in Fig. 16. Another way is to vary the thickness.

In Figs. 18-20, another variation is shown which involves a void space **226** between the mandrel **214** and the sleeve **216**. This space can be filled with a deformable material, or a particulate material, such as proppant, sand, glass balls or ceramic beads **228**. The beneficial features of this design can be seen after there is expansion in an out of round open hole, as shown in Fig. 20. Where there is a short distance to expand to the nearby borehole wall, contact of sleeve **216** occurs sooner. This causes a

displacement of the filler **228** so that the regions with greater borehole voids can still be as tightly sealed as the regions where contact is first made. This configuration, in particular, as well as the other designs for isolators discussed above offers an advantage over mechanical expansion with a cone. Cone expansion applies a uniform circumferential expansion force regardless of the shape of the borehole. The inflate technique conforms the applied force to where the resistance appears. Expansions that more closely conform to the contour of the well bore can thus be accomplished. Use of the void **226** with filler **228** merely amplifies this inherent advantage of expansion with a bladder **38**. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that the shorter the bladder **38**, the greater is the ability of the isolator to be expanded in close conformity with the borehole configuration. One the other hand, a shorter bladder also requires more cycles for expansion of a given length of isolator or screen. Longer bladders not only make the expansion go faster, but also allow for greater control of longitudinal shrinkage. Here again, the ability to control longitudinal shrinkage will have a tradeoff. If the mandrel **214** is restrained from shrinking as much longitudinally its wall thickness will decrease on diametric expansion. Compensation for this phenomenon by merely increasing the initial wall thickness of the mandrel **214** creates the problem of greatly increasing the required expansion pressure.

A solution is demonstrated in Figs. 23-25. In these Figures, the mandrel **214** still has the sleeve **216**. Internally to mandrel **214** is a seal bore **230**, which can span the length of the sleeve **216**. Within the seal bore **230**, the inflatable expansion tool **47** is inserted. The inflatable expansion tool **47** has been modified to have a bladder **38** and an insert sleeve **232** with a port **234** all mounted between two body rings **236** and **238**. Initially, as shown in Fig. 24, fluid pressure expands the mandrel **214** against the borehole through port **234**. Then the bladder **38** is expanded to push the sleeve **232** against the already expanded mandrel **214**(see Fig. 25).

Yet another technique for improving the sealing of an isolator is to take advantage of the greater coefficient of thermal expansion in the sleeve **216** such as when it is made of rubber. If the rubber is pre-cooled prior to running into the well bore it will grow in size as it comes to equilibrium temperature even after it has been inflatably expanded. The subsequent expansion increases sealing load. Thus rather than over-expanding the formation in-order to store elastic energy in it, the use of a mandrel **214** with a thin rubber sleeve **216** allows storage of elastic strain in the rubber itself. Although rubber has been mentioned for sleeve **216** other resilient materials compatible with down hole temperatures, pressures and fluids can be used without departing from the invention.

The screens, such as **28** can have a variety of structures and can be a single or multi-layer arrangement. In Fig.1b, the screen **28** is shown as a sandwich of a 250-micron membrane **240** between inner **242** and outer **244** jackets. These jackets are perforated or punched and the membrane itself can be a plurality of layers joined to each other by sintering or other joining techniques. The advantage of the sandwich is to minimize relative expansion as well as to protect the membrane **240**.

Yet another isolator configuration is visible in Figs. 21-22. Here the mandrel **214** has a wavy configuration one embodiment of which is a circumferential ribbed appearance. The sleeve **216** is applied to have a cylindrical exterior surface. After expansion, as seen in Fig. 22, the mandrel **214** becomes cylindrically shaped while the sleeve takes on a wavy exterior shape with peaks where the mandrel **214** had valleys, in its pre-expanded state.

Yet another issue resolved by the present invention is how to limit expansion of the isolators in a radial direction. Unrestrained growth can result in rupture if the elongation limits of the mandrel **214** are exceeded. Additionally, excessive loads on the formation can fracture it excessively adjacent the isolator. Expansion limiting devices can be applied to the isolator itself or to the fluid expansion tool used to increase its diameter. In one example, the mandrel **214** is wrapped in a sleeve **215** made of a biaxial metal weave before the rubber is applied.

This material is frequently used as an outer jacket for high- pressure industrial hose. It allows a limited amount of diametric expansion until the weave "locks up" at which time further expansion is severely limited in the absence of a dramatic increase in applied force. This condition can be monitored from the surface so as to avoid over-expansion of the isolator.

As an expanding-mandrel packer is radially expanded outwards it is desirable to have a mechanism in place to limit the radial growth of the packer. If the packer is allowed to expand without restraint of some kind it will ultimately rupture once the elongation limit of the mandrel material is exceeded. Also, if the packer is allowed to place an excessive load against an open hole formation wall the formation may be damaged and caused to fracture adjacent to the packer. There needs to be an expansion limiting mechanism in either the packer, such as isolator **16**, or expansion device, such as expansion assembly **E**.

If the expanding-mandrel packer is being expanded using an inflatable packer (i.e. using hydraulic pressure), once the yield point of the material is exceeded and the mandrel deforms plastically, pressure indications of the amount of radial expansion is impossible. Therefore, it is desirable that once a pre-determined level of expansion is obtained there is a pressure indication that would indicate the packer is at its maximum design limit.

An increase in applied pressure would be obtained if at some point the packer is subjected to an increased mechanical force opposing additional expansion.

The expansion of the packer may be limited by wrapping a bi-axial metal weave sleeve over the mandrel (see Fig. 26) prior to adding the sealing medium **216** (i.e. rubber). The bi-axial sleeve **215** will grow circumferentially as the packer mandrel is expanded, however at a pre-determined diameter the bi-axial sleeve will "lock-up"(see Fig. 27), preventing any additional radial expansion of the mandrel without a significant increase in applied radial load from the expansion device. This could give an indication at the surface that the limiting diameter of the packer has been reached, and further expansion is ceased.

The bi-axial mesh sleeve **215** would be fabricated in a tubular shape, and would be installed over the expanding-mandrel **214** during assembly of the packer. The mesh sleeve **215** would be in the un-expanded condition at this time. A rubber sealing cover **216** would then be applied over the bi-axial sleeve **215** to serve as the sealing component as the packer is expanded radially against the open-hole or casing. The assembled packer cross section is shown in Fig. 28.

As the packer is expanded in the borehole, the bi-axial mesh sleeve **215** expands circumferentially

along with the packer mandrel **214**. The rubber cover **216** is also expanding at this time. Once a pre-determined amount of expansion is obtained however the weaved metal fibers in the bi-axial sleeve will reach a configuration where further expansion is not possible, without breaking the fibers in the mesh. This will result in additional resistance to radial expansion, which will be detected by an increase in applied pressure required for additional expansion. At this point attempts at further expansion is ceased.

Fig. 27 shows the condition of the packer after reaching the expansion limit of the packer, as dictated by the maximum diametrical growth limit of the bi-axial mesh sleeve **215**. The fiber orientation in the mesh sleeve is more in a perpendicular orientation to the long axis of the packer than before expansion was started. The amount of expansion possible in these mesh sleeves is dictated by the wrapping pattern used, and can be varied to allow various expansion potentials.

The amount of expansion of bladder **38** can also be limited by regulation of volume delivered to it by measuring the flow going in or by delivering fluid from a reservoir having a known volume. Typically the isolators and screens of the present invention will have to be expanded up to 25%, or more, to reach the borehole. This requires materials with superior ductility and toughness. Some acceptable materials are austenitic stainless steels, such as

304L or 316L,super austenitic stainless steel (Alloy 28), and nickel based alloys (Inconel 825). As much as a 45% elongation can be achieved by using these materials in their fully annealed state. These materials have superior corrosion resistance particularly in chlorides or in sour gas service, although some of the materials perform better than others. Inconel 825 is very expensive which may rule it out for long intervals. In vertical wells with short zones this cost will not normally be an issue.

The sequence of expansion can also have an effect on the overall system performance of the isolators. A desirable sequence can begin with an upper isolator followed by a screen expansion followed by expansion of the lower isolator. Simultaneous expansion of the isolators and screen should be avoided because of the potentially different pressure responses, which, in turn, can cause either under or over expansion of the isolators, which, in turn, can cause inadequate sealing or formation fracturing.

When an isolator, such as **16**, is expanded, the sealing integrity can be checked. This can be accomplished using the expansion assembly **E** illustrated in Figs. 6-10. After expansion of the bladder **38**, which sets isolator **16**, the bladder **38** is allowed to deflate by removal of pressure from the surface. Thereafter, flow from the surface is resumed with bladder **38** still in position inside the now expanded isolator **16**. The injection control valve **58** is opened by flow through it, which

ultimately exits through the drain valve **60**. Due to creation of backpressure by virtue of restrictor **204** (see Fig. 10) the bladder re-inflates inside the expanded mandrel **214** of the isolator **16**. A seal is created between the completion assembly **C** and the expansion assembly **E**. Since there is an exit point at wash down shoe **14** and the isolator **16** is already expanded against the well bore **10**, applied pressure from the surface will go back up the annulus **46** until it encounters the sealing sleeve **216**, which is now firmly engaging the bore hole wall **10**. The annulus **46** is monitored at the surface to see if any returns arrive. Absence of returns indicates the seal of isolator **16** is holding. It should be noted that conducting this test puts pressure on the formation for a brief period. It should also be noted that the other isolators could be checked for leakage in a similar manner. For example, isolator **18** can be checked with bladder **38** re-inflated and flow through the expansion assembly **E**, which exits through screen **20** and exerts pressure against a sealing sleeve **216** of isolator **18**.

As previously mentioned, it may be desirable to combine the inflatable technique with a mechanical expansion technique using a cone expander. The driven cone technique may turn out to be more useful in expanding the screen, since substantially less force is required. Cone expansion is a continuous process and can be accomplished much faster for the screens, which are typically considerably longer than the isolators. When it comes to the isolators,

the cone expansion technique has some serious drawbacks. Since the isolators must be expanded in open hole or casing in order to obtain a seal with a force substantial enough for sealing, greater certainty is required that such a seal has been accomplished than can be afforded with cone expansion techniques. In open hole applications, the exact diameter of the hole is unknown due to washouts, drill pipe wear of the borehole, and other reasons. In cased hole applications, there is the issue of manufacturing tolerances in the casing. If the casing is slightly oversized, there will be insufficient sealing using a cone of a fixed dimension. There may be contact by the sealing sleeve **216** but with insufficient force to hold back the expected differential pressures. On the other hand, if the casing is undersized, the isolator may provide an adequate seal but the amount of realized expansion may be too small to allow the cone driver to pass through. If driving from bottom to top there will be a solid lockup, which prevents removal of the cone driver from the well. If driving from top to bottom the isolator will not be able to expand over its entire length. A solution can be the use of the expansion assembly **E** for the isolator expansion in combination with a cone expansion assembly for the screens. These two expansion assemblies can be run in separate trips or can be combined together in a single assembly, which preferably is run into the borehole together with the completion assembly **C**.

It is known that drilling fluids can cause a drilling-induced damage zone immediately around the well bore **10**. Depending on factors such as formation mechanical properties and residual stresses radial fractures can be extended as much as two feet into the formation to bypass the drilling-induced damage zone. This can be accomplished by over expanding the screens as they contact the well bore. A stable fracture presents little or no danger of migration into the zone sealed by the packers. Thus, for example in an eight inch well bore an expansion pressure of about 2500 PSI yields a fracture radius of about .5 feet, while a pressure of 7600PSI causes a 1 foot radius fracture. Because of the large friction existing between the screen and the well bore wall, multiple radial fractures may be induced in different directions, not necessarily aligned with the maximum horizontal stress direction. Increased fracture density improves well bore productivity.

Those skilled in the art will appreciate that the techniques described above can result in a savings in time and expense in the order of 75% when compared to traditional techniques of cementing and perforating casing coupled with traditional gravel packing operations. The system is versatile and can be accomplished while running coiled tubing because the expansion technique is not dependent on work string manipulation as may by needed for a cone expansion using pushing or pulling on the work string. Expansion techniques can be combined and

can include roller expansion as well as cone or an inflatable or combinations. The expansion assembly **E** can expand both the isolators and the screens. Another expansion device that can be used is a swedge. The preferred direction of expansion is down hole starting from the packer **30** or any other sealing or anchoring device, which can be used in its place. The inflatable technique acts to limit axial contraction when compared to other methods of expansion due to the axial contact constraint between the inflatable and isolator or screen during the expansion process. The sealing sleeve **216** can be rubber or other materials that are compatible with conditions down hole and exhibit the requisite resiliency to provide an effective seal at each isolator. The formulation of the sleeve can vary along its length or in a radial direction in an effort to obtain the requisite internal pressure for sealing while at the same time limiting extrusion. Real time feedback can be incorporated into the expansion procedure to insure sufficient expansion force and to prevent over-stressing. Stress can be sensed during expansion and reported to the surface as the bladder **38** expands. The delivered volume to the bladder **38** can be controlled or the flow into it can be measured. The formation can be locally fractured by screen expansion to compensate for drilling fluid, which can contaminate the borehole wall. Using the isolators with tubular mandrels **214** a far greater strength is realized than prior techniques, which required liners to be slotted to reduce expansion force while sacrificing collapse

resistance. The sandwich screens of the present invention can withstand differential pressures of 2-3000 PSI as compared to other structures such as those expanded by rollers where resistance to collapse is only in the order of 2-300 PSI.

In another expansion technique, the mandrel **214** can be made from material which, when subjected to electrical energy increases in dimension to force the sealing sleeve **216** into sealing contact with the borehole.

The use of an inflatable technique to expand the isolators and screens allows flexibility in the direction of expansion i.e. either up-hole or down-hole. It further allows selective expansion of the screens, using a variety of techniques, followed by subsequent isolator expansion by the preferred use of the expansion assembly **E**.

The length of the inflatable is inversely related to its sensitivity to borehole variation and is directly related to the speed with which the isolator is expanded. The screens can be expanded with bladder 38 to achieve localized or more extensive formation fracturing. Overall, higher forces for expansion can be delivered using the expansion assembly **E** than other expansion techniques, such as cone expansions. The inflatable technique can vary the force applied to create uniformity in fracture effect when used in a well bore with differing hardness or shape variations.

The inflatable expansion can be accomplished using a down hole piston that is weight set or actuated by an applied force through the work string. If pressure is used to actuate a down hole piston, a pressure intensifier can be fitted adjacent the piston to avoid making the entire work string handle the higher piston actuation pressures.

The isolators can have constant or variable wall thickness and can be cylindrically shaped or longitudinally corrugated.

The above description is illustrative of the preferred embodiment and the full scope of the invention can be determined from the claims, which appear below.

Claims:

1. A well completion method for isolating at least one zone, comprising:

running into the wellbore a string with at least one isolator in conjunction with a tool which allows flow from the surrounding formation into the string;

expanding said isolator and said tool in said wellbore.

2. The method of claim 1, comprising:

performing said expanding of said isolator and said tool in a single trip into the wellbore.

3. The method of claim 1, comprising:

running in an anchor with said string;

setting the anchor before said expanding; and

releasing the string from the anchor before said expanding.

4. The method of claim 1, comprising:

running in an expansion assembly comprising an inflatable with said string; and

expanding said at least one isolator at least in part with said inflatable.

5. The method of claim 4, comprising:

selectively deflating and moving said inflatable for repositioning;

continuing expansion of said at least one isolator or tool by re-inflating said inflatable after said repositioning.

6. The method of claim 1, comprising:

forming said at least one isolator from an un-perforated mandrel covered by a resilient sealing sleeve.

7. The method of claim 6, comprising:

expanding said mandrel from its original size; and

using at least a partially annealed material for said mandrel.

8. The method of claim 6, comprising:

limiting the amount of expansion with a device fitted to said mandrel.

9. The method of claim 8, comprising:

using a woven sleeve around said mandrel that locks up after a predetermined amount of expansion of said mandrel as said device.

10. The method of claim 8, comprising:

using a strain sensor as said device;

transmitting, in real time, the sensed strain to the surface; and

determining the amount of expansion from said sensed strain.

11. The method of claim 6, comprising:

providing radially extending members from said mandrel into said resilient sealing sleeve to resist extrusion of said resilient sleeve after expansion of said mandrel.

12. The method of claim 6, comprising:

providing an embedded ring located adjacent at least one end of said resilient sleeve to resist extrusion of said sleeve after expansion of said mandrel.

13. The method of claim 12, comprising:

varying the stiffness of said ring along its length.

14. The method of claim 6, comprising:

providing exterior undulations on said mandrel;

providing a cylindrically shaped outer surface on said resilient sleeve;

converting said cylindrical shape of the outer surface of said resilient sleeve to an undulating shape upon expansion of said mandrel.

15. The method of claim 6, comprising:

providing a void between said mandrel and said resilient sealing sleeve;

placing a deformable material or a particulate material in said void;

using said deformable material or said particulate material to aid said resilient sleeve conform to the wellbore shape on expansion of said mandrel.

16. The method of claim 6, comprising:

pre-cooling said resilient sealing sleeve below ambient temperature before insertion into the wellbore.

17. The method of claim 1, comprising:

circulating through said string during run in;

closing off circulation passages;

building pressure in said string;

using pressure in said string to expand said at least one isolator, at least in part.

18. The method of claim 1, comprising:

providing an inflatable on said string to expand said at least one isolator at least in part.

19. The method of claim 1, comprising:

fully expanding said at least one isolator solely with at least one inflatable.

20. The method of claim 19, comprising:

regulating the volume of incompressible fluid delivered to said inflatable as a way to limit expansion of said at least one isolator.

21. The method of claim 19, comprising:

using a screen as said tool;

expanding said screen against the wellbore wall mechanically.

22. The method of claim 19, comprising:

using a screen as said tool;

expanding said screen with said inflatable.

23. The method of claim 22, comprising:

expanding said at least one isolator and said screen in a single trip with said inflatable.

24. The method of claim 18, comprising:

forming said at least one isolator from an un-perforated mandrel covered by a resilient sealing sleeve;

initially expanding said mandrel with pressure and then completing the expansion with said inflatable.

25. The method of claim 22, comprising:

pressure testing, after expansion, the seal of said at least one isolator through said screen.

26. The method of claim 19, comprising:

performing said expanding of said at least one isolator and said tool in a single trip into the wellbore.

27. The method of claim 26, comprising:

running in an anchor with said string;

setting the anchor before said expanding said inflatable;

releasing the string from the anchor before actuation of the inflatable;

removing said inflatable from the wellbore with said string.

28. The method of claim 18, comprising:

forming at least one of said isolators from an un-perforated mandrel covered by a resilient sealing sleeve;

initially expanding said mandrel mechanically with a cone-type device and then completing the expansion with said inflatable.

29. The method of claim 1, comprising:

expanding said tool into contact with the formation; and

fracturing the formation by said expanding.

30. The method of claim 6, comprising:

expanding said tool into contact with the formation; and

fracturing the formation by said expanding.

31. The method of claim 18 comprising:

expanding said tool into contact with the formation; and

fracturing the formation by said expanding.

32. The method of claim 18, comprising:

providing at least two isolators disposed above and below said tool;

providing at least one screen as said tool;

expanding at least one of said isolators and said screen at least in part with said inflatable.

33. The method of claim 31, comprising:

fracturing the formation by said expanding of said screen.

The Patent Office

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

Application No: GB 0130640.6
Claims searched: 1-33

Examiner: Nicholas Mole
Date of search: 15 April 2002

Patents Act 1977
Search Report under Section 17

Databases searched:

UK Patent Office collections, including GB, EP, WO & US patent specifications, in:

UK Cl (Ed.T): E1F (FJF, FMU, FLW, FJB, FLA)

Int Cl (Ed.7): E21B (43/08, 43/10, 43/14)

Other: Online: WPI EPODOC JAPIO

Documents considered to be relevant:

Category	Identity of document and relevant passage		Relevant to claims
A	GB 2343691 A	(SHELL)	
A	GB 2336383 A	(BAKER HUGHES)	
A	EP 0360597 A	(HALLIBURTON)	
A, P	US 6263966 B	(HAUT)	
A	US 5901789	(DONELLY)	

X Document indicating lack of novelty or inventive step
Y Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined with one or more other documents of same category.
& Member of the same patent family

A Document indicating technological background and/or state of the art.
P Document published on or after the declared priority date but before the filing date of this invention.
E Patent document published on or after, but with priority date earlier than, the filing date of this application.